

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/861,326
 05/21/97
 TIDRICK
 G
 93214003

PM11/0619

PAUL F WILLE FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE SUITE 2600 FHOENIX AZ 85012-2913 EXAMINER BUCCI, D.

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3617

DATE MAILED: 06/19/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Cyambra	Constant Lines
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	•	; •
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE <u></u>	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defe Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, to 	a response within the statu ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. -IS from the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	the state of the s	
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) none	·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
X Claim(s) 1-6		is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 7-9		
☐ Claim(s)		s/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	. Review. PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	he priority documents	have be en
received in this national stage application from the Inte	•	
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)	☐ Intervieiw Summary, PTO-413
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	• •	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		Other.
Office	Action Summary	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Serial Number: 08/861,326

Art Unit:

1. The specification is objected to because on line 17 of page 5, "23" should be --53--.

Correction is required.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of "means" on lines 6, 7, and 9. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 3, "23" should be --24-- (this correction should also be made at lines 17 and 19 of page 7). Correction is required.
- 5. Claim 8 signed cted under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is confusing because a "lifting force" cannot aid in lowering the ramp.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Serial Number: 08/861,326

Page 3

Art Unit:

7. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rice.

Rice shows an apparatus comprising: an electric motor 13; and energy storage means

24a.

9. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

10. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 11.

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D. Bucci at 12.

telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Bucci:e.h.

June 17, 1998

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 317